

**College of Saint Mary**  
**Rule 24 Section 3:**  
**Use of Related Data and Information for**  
**Continuous Program Improvement of Endorsement Program**

**Endorsement Program: Reading and Writing 7-12**

**Discuss changes or improvements made to the specific endorsement program in the last three to four years.**

There have been no completers for the 7-12 Reading and Writing Endorsement in the years addressed in this folio. Courses required for the endorsement are continually reviewed and updated based upon current research and practices in the field.

**What did the data indicate and what endorsement program changes were made as a result of assessment data analysis?**

There have been no completers for the 7-12 Reading and Writing Endorsement in the years addressed in this folio so no data was available for review and analysis. Students enrolled in content areas seeking secondary education endorsements must meet general education requirements, complete content coursework and take professional education core courses that cover all of the 128 credits required for graduation. These requirements have limited students' ability to add the 7-12 Reading and Writing endorsement.

Students preparing to teach in Elementary grades have expressed an interest in adding a Reading endorsement. With the addition of the PK-6 Reading and Writing Endorsement in Nebraska, the Teacher Education program prepared and submitted a curriculum proposal offer the PK-6 level endorsement. The proposal was approved in Spring 2016 and will be offered beginning in the 2016-2017 academic year.

**How were decisions made and by whom?**

The decision was led by the Teacher Education Program Director in collaboration with the Early Childhood Education Program Director, who has expertise in the early literacy, and the English Program Director. The curriculum redesign was shared with and supported by the Teacher Education faculty and submitted for review through the university-wide curriculum review process. A detailed Undergraduate Curriculum Proposal was prepared, presented to the Curriculum Committee, shared at Division Meeting and approved by the Faculty Assembly in May 2016. A detailed Graduate Curriculum Proposal was prepared, present to the Graduate Council in May 2016. Final approval was granted by the College of Saint Mary Board of Directors.

**What has been the effect of these program changes?**

The PK-6 Reading and Writing Endorsement will provide an opportunity for students majoring in Elementary Education or Early Childhood Education to gain additional expertise and use these

specialized skills in the classroom or in reading support. Undergraduate and graduate students will be allowed to add PK-6 endorsement beginning fall 2016.

**What future endorsement program changes are planned?**

**(Use goals from your annual assessment reports for this and any other future changes you plan to make.)**

No additional curricular changes are planned at this time. When CSM teacher candidates add either the PK-6 or 7-12 Reading and Writing endorsements, course based assessments and Key Assessments will be aligned to evaluate students in field experiences and in clinical practice placements. As data on students' knowledge, skills and dispositions are collected and analyzed, program updates will be considered at that time. The Content GPA is currently used as a Content Knowledge Key Assessment. Because no Praxis Content Test is mandated by the NDE for this endorsement, an alternative Key Assessment will be considered to measure students' mastery of the specialized content and pedagogical knowledge in the Reading and Writing endorsements.

**What are implications for overall unit improvement initiatives to the endorsement program?**

Several programmatic changes in the Unit have had an impact on the Teacher Education program and could have potential impact on students adding either PK-6 or 7-12 Reading and Writing endorsements. These include the additional of Dispositional Reflections for undergraduate students and Dispositional assessments for all students (undergraduate and MAT), use of the statewide Clinical Practice evaluation format, updated CSM Student Outcomes, addition of the Case Study project during Clinical Practice and CSM Lesson Plan Format revisions.

**Dispositional Assessment**

Since CSM's last accreditation review, the program has expanded attention to assessment of professional dispositions in several ways. Although development of the Teacher Education Interview as part of the application for formal acceptance was addressed in the last review, a Reflection of Dispositions has been added to the process. Undergraduate Teacher Education program applicants must complete a self-reflection of the program's stated Professional Dispositions and address their responses as part of the interview process. Applicants for Clinical Practice placement complete another self-reflection as part of that application process. At the end of each semester, a Dispositions Report form is sent to all teacher education course faculty and to faculty in the broader CSM community in order to have early identification of issues and to provide early intervention to support student success whenever possible. MAT candidates and faculty complete a Professional Dispositions report at the end of each course.

Professional dispositions are addressed during the first semester for undergraduate students enrolled in EDU 101: Introduction to Education or upon entrance to the program if transferring an equivalent course. Candidates receive and review the Undergraduate Teacher Education Handbook, complete an assessment on the policies and procedures and sign understanding and compliance agreement at that time. MAT students receive and review the MAT Graduate Teacher Education Handbook, complete and sign off on policies and procedures, and sign understanding and compliance agreement after initial orientation. Program faculty are reviewing where professional dispositions could be more strongly

infused in other teacher education coursework. Compliance with professional behaviors is mentioned in every course syllabus but consideration of listing the areas of dispositional assessment from the NDE Clinical Practice Evaluation is being considered so that students better understand the importance of these dispositions in this final programmatic summative assessment.

### **Clinical Practice Evaluations**

The use of the statewide Clinical Practice Evaluation will have impact upon this endorsement program. The evaluation is built on InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and CSM Student Outcomes are aligned with InTASC Standards. Each course in the Teacher Education program was examined and the student learning outcomes were updated using NDE Guidelines for the Reading and Writing endorsement. NDE Guidelines and CSM Student Outcomes are aligned with InTASC standards as is the NDE Clinical Practice Evaluation which helps to ensure that students are developing the knowledge, skills and dispositions that will be assessed during Clinical Practice.

Students are evaluated in every field experience assignment. Short form evaluations are completed in early courses in the program while longer versions are used for extended experiences of more than 20 hours in a semester. In order to provide specific attention to the areas addressed on the final Clinical Practice Evaluation, faculty will make adjustments in early evaluations and on longer evaluation forms to link more strongly with CSM program expectations for professional dispositions. One particular challenge to success in field experiences has been student delays in beginning placements in a timely manner. The Clinical Fieldwork Coordinator sets up and communicates all field experiences in an efficient and timely manner each semester. Enforcement of initial start dates will be more strongly documented and check in with cooperating teachers or school personnel will be done to ensure that students do not start out behind in completion of the placements.

### **Case Study Project**

The addition of the Case Study project completed during Clinical Practice provides the use of multiple measures of student performance in assessing, planning, implementing and evaluating students. The complexity and intensity of the Case Study assignment has proved to be demanding for students notably for undergraduate students who are concurrently completing their Senior Research Capstone requirement. The demands of the Case Study will be continuously reviewed.

In order to provide strong preparation for the summative Case Study project, students in the Reading and Writing endorsement program complete assessment projects as a part of EDU 315: Assessment of Learners for undergraduate students and in EDU 512: Educational Psychology and Assessment for graduate students. The projects include assessment of data including learning to analyze scores from standardized tests, create rubrics to provide data and review sample data that is used to make decisions about instruction). Students complete a Literacy Case Study in SPE 430: Diagnosis & Evaluation of Reading for undergraduate students and SPE 530: Diagnosis & Remediation of Reading for graduate students in the Reading and Writing endorsement program. This Case Study includes assessing student interests and skills, designing and conducting lessons and using formative and summative assessments. These course level Assessment and Case Study projects will be reviewed in light of the summative Case Study project to further develop students' ability to assess and evaluate student data.

The Nebraska Department of Education (NDE) oversees the accountability system for public schools and districts. The Accountability for a Quality Education System Today and Tomorrow (AQuESTT) uses performance on state assessments and the effective use of data along with other key indicators in a system focused on school improvement and support. CSM Teacher Education students are familiarized with the multiple assessments and use of data from these tools used as part of AQuESTT performance measures including individualized/adaptive assessments, classroom-based assessments and state assessments.

### **Lesson Planning Template Revision**

A general CSM Lesson Plan Format was developed in 2000 to be used across all teacher education methods courses. The Lesson Plan Format has been reviewed continuously. The Lesson Plan Format with Reflection was updated in fall 2013 with more detailed instructions and links to resources including stronger attention to accommodations and modifications for differentiating lessons for all learners. At the same time in order to focus on gathering and analyzing student data, a Lesson Plan Format with Evidence of Student Learning Analysis was developed to be used across methods courses program-wide when students are able to plan, implement and evaluate lessons in field experience settings.

Students have had limited opportunities to engage in detailed analysis of student data and take leading roles in planning and implementing classroom instruction. Students placed in fall semester field experiences are in the process of learning instructional design and not yet ready to conduct detailed data-driven assessments. During spring semester field experiences, with heightened focus on test preparation teachers are hesitant to release control of instruction during the semester. Partnerships with specific schools and classrooms will be formed to allow students to complete at least one detailed Lesson Plan with Analysis.

### **Supporting Documents**

Undergraduate K-6 proposal

Graduate K-6 proposal

Graduate 7-12 proposal

MAT calendar with Reading and Writing endorsement K-6

MAT calendar with Reading and Writing endorsement 7-12

Sequence Sheet K-6